A New Tibetan Rhododendron

KEITH RUSHFORTH

t is sometimes stated that the taxonomy of Rhododendron (Ericaceae) is based on the flowers but a casual examination of any botanical treatment (e.g. Chamberlain, 1982; Cullen, 1997) shows that at best this is an over-simplification. Rhododendron is defined in the Ericaceae by characters of the capsule combined with the anthers opening by apical pores, whereas the principal subgenera Hymenanthes Rhododendron and differentiated by the presence or absence of scales. The subsection Falconera Sleumer is separated from the rest of the subgenus Hymenanthes by the cup-shaped hairs on the leaf underside; in the above references, the species of subsection Falconera are keyed out using characters of the leaves and ovaries rather than the corollas.

In October 1999 on the south-west side of the Showa La in south-east Tibet (Xizang), a Rhododendron species was found which clearly belongs to the subsection Falconera on the basis of the cup-shaped leaf hairs. Whilst the form and colour of the corollas are unclear in the fruiting specimen, it is unique in the oblanceolate leaf lamina that is decurrent along the short, winged petiole. Thus it is here described as a new species.

Rhododendron heatheriae Rushforth sp.nov., Rhododendron arizelo similis sed foliis oblanceolatis, plus quam tres partes longis quam latis, basi anguste cuneata et lamina in petiolum brevum alatum angustata differt. Typus: Tibet, Showa La, between 29.50'37"N, 95.19'49"E and 29.50'36"N, 95.19'35"E, 3850m, tree 5 m, 6th October 1999, Rushforth 6158 (holo E).

Similar to R. arizelum but differing in the oblanceolate leaves which are more than three times as long as broad with the base narrowly cuneate and with the leaf blade narrowed into a short winged petiole.

DESCRIPTION. Large shrub or small tree to 8 m with a trunk up to 30 cm diameter at ground level. Bark flaking in thin scales, pinky brown. Shoots densely tomentose. Leaves oblanceolate. maximum dimensions on young sterile plants to 30 cm in length by 10 cm in width, but on mature plants less than 20 cm in length with a width of less than 5.5 cm, apex rounded, mucronate to slightly emarginate, base narrowly cuneate and decurrent, forming wings to the base of the short and rather indistinct petiole, upper surface nearly glabrous by autumn with circa 16 pairs of weakly defined veins, underside fawn to orange (in the RHS Colour Chart greyed-orange group 165C in first autumn and either 165B or orange-red 34A in second autumn), densely covered with fimbriated cup-shaped hairs. Flowers on a rachis circa 1 cm long, in shrivelled state corolla funnelcampanulate, c. 3 cm. Pedicels 2 cm long, finely tomentose. Capsule 1-2.5 cm by 0.7-1.2 cm, densely and persistently tomentose.

The strongly fimbriated cup-shaped hairs indicate a relationship with *R arizelum* Balf. f. & Forrest but this has much broader leaves (1.5-2.1[-2.5] times as long as broad) with the base of the leaf blade being broadly cuneate to rounded where it joins the thick terete petiole (which is usually 2-4 cm in length). Occasional specimens of *R. arizelum* are found at the bottom of the range of *R. heatheriae* and are clearly separable by these characters.

In the key to the subsection in Chamberlain (1982), the character of the winged petiole separates R. heatheriae from the majority of species in the subsection and places it with R. rothschildii Davidian, R. semnoides Tagg & Forrest and R. basilicum Balf. f. & W. W. Sm. Similarly, in the key to the group (treated as series Falconeri) in Davidian (1989), it is keyed out with these three species and part of the variation of R. preptum Balf. f. & Forrest. The leaves are much narrower than in these species; they are more than three times (up to 5.5 times) as long as broad, whereas in R. rothschildii, R.

semnoides, R. basilicum and R. preptum the length to breadth ratio is 2.1-2.8. It also differs from these species in the indistinct short petiole; and from R. rothschildii in the non-agglutinated indumentum, from R. basilicum in the strongly fimbriated cup-shaped hairs, and from R. semnoides and R. preptum in the colour of the leaf underside.

Although only known in the fruiting stage, shrivelled flowers indicate that the flower shape is funnel-campanulate, whereas R. rothschildii, R. semnoides and R. basilicum have flowers which are oblique-campanulate and R. preptum has ventricose campanulate flowers. Funnelcampanulate flowers are found in R. coriaceum Franchet and R. hodgsonii Hooker f; this may indicate a white to pink flower colour in R. heatheriae. The Showa La receives very heavy winter snowfall and is only open between late June and early October with limited and difficult access to the south-west side. The flowering period of subsection Falconera species is in the spring and thus flowering is likely to be over before it is possible to cross the pass or ascend from the south-west side to the altitude at which R. heatheriae grows to collect it in flower.

Currently R. heatheriae is only recorded from the ridge which runs south-west from the Showa La down towards the Lopa village of Doker, 3700-4050 m. Over this zone of 300 m in altitude and 2.5 km along the ridge, the species is uniform and is the dominant Rhododendron, forming very extensive thickets with trees up to 8 m and trunks to 30cm basal diameter. Although physically the dominant plant, the dominant tree (to 40 m but usually only half this height) is a species of the Abies forrestii C. C. Rogers aggregate (Abies section Pseudopicea Hickel emend Farjon & Rushforth subsection Delavayanum Farjon & Rushforth). Other rhododendrons present on the ridge are R. dignabile Cowan, which is found mainly above the R. heatheriae zone; R. campylocarpum Hook. f. subsp. caloxanthum (Balf. f. & Forrest) D. F. Chamberlain and R. hirtipes Tagg, which are scattered throughout the R. heatheriae zone; R. wardii W. W. Smith and R. xanthocodon Hutchinson, which are common and locally dominant; R. tsariense Cowan aff.,

very restricted at around 3900 m, a subsection Glaucophyllum species (uncommon; a section Pogonanthum species) occasional; and at the lower end of the R. heatheriae zone occasional R. arizelum, R. faucium D. F. Chamberlain, R. phaedropum (Balf. f. & Farrer)Tagg, R. exasperatum Tagg, R. triflorum Hook. f. and R. cerasinum Tagg aff., with R. fulvum Balf. f. & W. W. Sm. subsp. fulvoides (Balf. f. & Forrest) D. F. Chamberlain occurring below the zone.

Other plants growing with R. heatheriae are: at the top of its ranges a blue-foliaged Festuca sp, Gentiana sp, Potentilla arbuscula D. Don, Rosa omeiensis Rolfe, Sorbus filipes Hand:-Mazz. agg. and S. cf. helenae Koehne; at the bottom of its range, Acer campbelli Hook. f., Betula utilis D. Don, Borinda sp, Euonymus sp, Ilex intricata Hook. f., Primula sp, Sorbus albopilosa Yu and S. longii Rushforth.

Peter Cox (pers. comm.) has suggested that R. heatheriae may be the same species as a population of trees reported on the south-east side of the Doshong La (a pass approximately 58 km to the south-west at circa 29.29'N, 94.56'E, to the west of Namche Barwa. I have examined a specimen, Muller s.n., from this locality. This consists of only two detached leaves, possibly from different trees. These leaves are similar to R. arizelum; the larger leaf is mature and is elliptic with the blade 21 cm by 10 cm with a thin rufous indumentum, the smaller one is broader just above the middle of the blade, 14.5 cm by 5.5 cm with a dense pale fawn indumentum and is probably only partly grown. Both leaves are broadly cuneate at the base with short, slightly winged petioles. From R. arizelum they primarily differ in the winged and short petiole but otherwise have a fairly typical R. arizelum leaf blade; from R. heatheriae they differ in the less winged petiole and leaf blade being broadest at or only slightly above the middle and in the range 2.1-2.6 times as long as broad. The overall appearance of these two leaves does not match the material of R. heatheriae but indicates a plant much closer to R. arizelum. Kingdon Ward 5877 collected from this locality in 1924 is treated as R. arizelum in Chamberlain (1982) and Argent et al (1997).